



## **SOUTHEASTERN GEOGRAPHER**

### **Reviewer Guidelines**

The *Southeastern Geographer* appreciates the time involved in writing a review and uses this information to: 1) make a decision; and, 2) provide author(s) with constructively critical comments that can be guidance for either revision or future research efforts.

Reviews should contain the following in their assessment:

- Originality and significance
- Presentation quality including:
  - Clarity
  - Data, methodology, and statistical approach (if applicable)
  - Conclusions that are supported by the main text
  - References include key articles in subject field and the most recent relevant literature
- Suggested improvements with specific details provided

Reviews should provide a recommendation using one of the following categories:

- **Accept:** No changes are requested by the reviewer.
- **Accept after Minor Revision:** Requested changes by reviewer are minor and require no further evaluation by the reviewer (unless requested).
- **Reconsider after Major Revision:** Requested changes by reviewer are major but may potentially lead to an acceptable version of the manuscript. Author(s) will need to provide a detailed response letter to address the suggested changes indicated by the reviewer.
- **Reject:** The manuscript is lacking with one or more of the following:
  - Originality and significance
  - Clarity
  - Data, methodology, and statistical approach (if applicable)
  - Interpretation
  - Relevant citations